

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/013,096	12/06/2001	Tetsuo Nishimoto	393032029100 9570	
7:	590 04/28/2003			
David L. Fehrman			EXAMINER	
Morrison & Foerster LLP			ABDELWAHED, ALI F	
35th Floor 555 W. 5th Stre	aat .			
Los Angeles, CA 90013			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3712	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2003	\mathcal{M}
				1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		(m)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/013,096	NISHIMOTO, TETSUO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ali Abdelwahed	3712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply of within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Application/Control Number: 10/013,096

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,746,602 to Kikinis in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,752,880 to Gabai et al.

Kikinis discloses the claimed invention except for, regarding claim 12, the input interface further receiving from outside the electronic toy, first instructing information for instructing that predetermined control information having been stored in the memory should be replaced with the received control information, or second instructing information that the received control information should be additionally stored into the memory. However, Gabai et al. teaches an interactive electronic doll comprising the aforementioned limitations (see column 8, lines 49-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the doll of Kikinis, in view of Gabai et al., such that it would provide the doll of

Kikinis with the aforementioned limitations for the purpose of providing a variety of instructions to the doll to manipulate the stored information in the memory and the received control information.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,149,490 to Hampton et al.

Kikinis discloses the claimed invention except for, regarding claim 14, the computer or processor being provided in the electronic toy. However, Hampton et al. teaches an interactive electronic doll comprising the aforementioned limitation (see figs.1-22, and respective portions of the specification). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the doll of Kikinis, in view of Hampton et al., such that it would provide the doll of Kikinis with the aforementioned limitation for the purpose of enclosing the computer or processor within the toy doll for enhancing the mobility of the doll.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Abdelwahed whose telephone number is (703) 305-3311. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

AA 04/18/2003 DERRIS H. BANKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700